Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

Masood Parvez,* Sean Dalrymple and Adrien Cote

Department of Chemistry, The University of Calgary, 2500 University Drive NW, Calgary, Alberta, Canada T2N 1N4

Correspondence e-mail: parvez@ucalgary.ca

Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 293 KMean $\sigma(C-C) = 0.009 \text{ Å}$ R factor = 0.067 wR factor = 0.243 Data-to-parameter ratio = 19.3

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e. The crystal structure of doxylamine hydrogen succinate or dimethyl{[1-phenyl-1-(2-pyridyl)ethoxy]ethyl]ammonium hydrogen succinate, $C_{17}H_{23}N_2O^+\cdot C_4H_5O_4^-$, contains two independent protonated molecules of doxylamine with different conformations and two succinate anions in an asymmetric unit. The structure is stabilized by hydrogen bonds involving the cations and the anions, with $O\cdots O$ and $N\cdots O$ distances in the range 2.536 (5)–2.853 (5) Å.

Doxylamine hydrogen succinate

Received 12 December 2000 Accepted 10 January 2001 Online 30 January 2001

Comment

Doxylamine [dimethylaminoethoxy(methyl)benzylpyridine] is a chiral tertiary aminoalkyl ether, with antihistaminic actions on the H₁-receptor site (Casy, 1991). The crystal structures of the tetrachlorozincate(II), tetrachlorocobaltate(II) (Parvez & Sabir, 1998) and tetrachlorocuprate(II) (Braitenbach & Parvez, 2001) salts of doxylamine have been reported from our laboratory. The crystal structures of a number of compounds belonging to this class of ethers which possess antiallergic activities have been reported, e.g. diphenhydramine hydrochloride (Glaser & Maartmann-Moe, 1990), diphenhydramine thiourea complex (Wiedenfeld & Knoch, 1987), carbinoxamine maleate (Bertolasi et al., 1980) and clemastine hydrogen fumarate (Parvez & Wendling, 1991). The crystal structure of orphenadrine hydrochloride, a skeletal muscle relaxant, which is closely related to these compounds has also been reported (Glaser et al., 1992). Continuing our investigations on the conformation of this important antiallergic drug, we now report the crystal structure of doxylamine hydrogen succinate, (I).



The asymmetric unit of (I) is composed of two cations of the antihistamine with different conformations and two hydrogen succinate ions (Fig. 1). The C9A–C12A atoms in one of the phenyl rings and the O3A atom had large displacement parameters possibly reflecting a degree of disorder.

 \odot 2001 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved



Figure 1

ORTEPII (Johnson, 1976) drawing of one of the two independent cation– anion pairs in (I). Displacement ellipsoids have been plotted at the 50% probability level.



Figure 2

ORTEPII (Johnson, 1976) drawing of the second of the two independent cation–anion pairs in (I). Displacement ellipsoids have been plotted at the 50% probability level.

In both cations in (I), the pyridine rings are planar; they are inclined by 84.6 (2) and 85.9 (2)° to the phenyl rings. The corresponding angles in the tetrachlorozincate(II), tetra-chlorocobaltate(II) (Parvez & Sabir, 1998) and tetrachlorocuprate(II) (Braitenbach & Parvez, 2001) salts of doxylamine were 87.68 (14), 88.1 (2) and 82.9 (3)°, respectively.

The orientations of the pyridyl rings in both cations in (I) are different from their conformations in the dihydrocationic salts of doxylamine mentioned above, wherein both lone electron pairs of ethereal O atoms were oriented towards ammonium and pyridinium H atoms. This difference in orientation is due to a lack of interactions between ethereal O and pyridyl N atoms in (I) and results in a rotation of approximately 180° about the C5–C6 and C5A–C6A bonds in both cations of (I) as compared with those in the doxylamine moiety in the dihydro-cationic salts. Furthermore, the cations in (I) differ in the orientation of the aminomethyl

groups; the ammonium H atom of one of the cations has switched positions with a methyl group as compared to the other cation resulting in significantly different intramolecular separations between the ethereal O and ammonium N atoms: $N2\cdots O1 3.095$ (6) *versus* $N2A\cdots O1A 2.870$ (6) Å. The difference in the orientation of the aminomethyl groups in the two cations is evident from a comparison of the torsion angles O1-C14-C15-N2 76.9 (6)° and O1A-C14A-C15A-N2A 58.4 (6)°, and C14-C15-N2-C17 -62.0 (6)° and C14A-C15A-N2A-C17A 51.5 (6)°. The torsion angles corresponding to C5-C6-O1-C14, C6-O1-C14-C15and C14-C15-N2-C17 in the two molecules are close to 180° with values in the range 173.2 (4)–179.5 (5)°, with the exception of C6-O1-C14-C15 of 155.7 (5)° in one of the cations.

In general, carboxylic acids tend to form cyclic dimers *via* hydrogen bonding. The crystal structure of (I) displays an extensive network of hydrogen bonding wherein the succinate ions are linked into chains in a zigzag fashion along the *c* axis, with $05\cdots 02A$ and $05A\cdots 02$ being 2.538 (5) and 2.536 (5) Å, respectively. Only a few carboxylic acids appear to adopt this arrangement (Bernstein *et al.*, 1994), *e.g.* L-lysine succinate (Prasad & Vijayan, 1991). The cations are attached to the anion chains *via* ammonium H atoms involved in the N-H···O interactions with N2···O2 and N2A···O2A distances of 2.744 (5) and 2.853 (5) Å, respectively (see Table 1).

Experimental

Crystals of (I) (Sigma Inc.) were grown from a solution in ethanol by slow evaporation at room temperature.

Crystal data

$C_{17}H_{23}N_2O^+ \cdot C_4H_5O_4^-$ $M_r = 388.45$ Monoclinic, $P2_1/c$ $a = 8.901$ (3) Å b = 21.075 (4) Å c = 22.721 (5) Å $\beta = 97.22$ (2)° V = 4228.4 (19) Å ³ Z = 8	$D_x = 1.220 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Mo K\alpha radiation Cell parameters from 25 reflections $\theta = 10.0-15.0^\circ$ $\mu = 0.09 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 293 (2) K Prism, colourless $0.40 \times 0.30 \times 0.30 \text{ mm}$
Data collection	
Rigaku AFC-6 <i>S</i> diffractometer $\omega/2\theta$ scans 10 376 measured reflections 9763 independent reflections 2872 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ $R_{\text{int}} = 0.06$ $\theta_{\text{max}} = 27.5^{\circ}$	$h = 0 \rightarrow 11$ $k = 0 \rightarrow 27$ $l = -29 \rightarrow 29$ 3 standard reflections every 200 reflections intensity decay: <0.1%
Refinement	
Refinement on F^2 R(F) = 0.067 $wR(F^2) = 0.243$ S = 1.04 9763 reflections 507 parameters H-atom parameters constrained	$w = 1/[\sigma^{2}(F_{o}^{2}) + (0.0479P)^{2} + 9.25P]$ where $P = (F_{o}^{2} + 2F_{c}^{2})/3$ $(\Delta/\sigma)_{max} < 0.001$ $\Delta\rho_{max} = 0.42 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$ $\Delta\rho_{min} = -0.31 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$

Table 1Hydrogen-bonding geometry (Å, °).

$D - H \cdots A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdot \cdot \cdot A$
N2-H1···O2	0.91	1.85	2.744 (5)	166
$N2A - H2 \cdot \cdot \cdot O2A$	0.91	2.01	2.853 (5)	153
$O5-H3\cdots O2A^{i}$	0.82	1.73	2.538 (5)	171
$O5A - H4 \cdots O2^{ii}$	0.82	1.72	2.536 (5)	171

Symmetry codes: (i) -x, 1 - y, 1 - z; (ii) -x, $y - \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} - z$.

All H atoms were located from difference maps and were included at geometrically idealized positions, with O-H = 0.82, N-H = 0.91and C-H = 0.93-0.97 Å, in a riding mode with isotropic displacement parameters of 1.2 (non-methyl) and 1.5 (methyl) times the displacement parameters of the atoms to which they were attached.

Data collection: *MSC/AFC Diffractometer Control Software* (Molecular Structure Corporation, 1988); cell refinement: *MSC/AFC Diffractometer Control Software*; data reduction: *TEXSAN* (Molecular Structure Corporation, 1994); program(s) used to solve structure: *SAPI*91 (Fan, 1991); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL*97 (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: *TEXSAN*; software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXL*97 (Sheldrick, 1997).

The authors thank the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council (Canada) for providing the diffractometer through an equipment grant to the University of Calgary.

References

- Bernstein, J., Etter, M. C. & Leiserowitz, L. (1994). Structure Correlation,
- edited by H.-B. Bürgi & J. D. Dunitz, Vol. 2, pp. 431–507. New York: VCH. Bertolasi, V., Borea, P. A., Gilli, G. & Sacerdoti, M. (1980). *Acta Cryst.* B36, 2287–2291.
- Braitenbach, K. & Parvez, M. (2001). Acta Cryst. C57. In the press.
- Casy, A. F. (1991). Histamine and Histamine Antagonists, edited by B. Uvnas, pp. 549–572. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer-Verlag.
- Glaser, R., Donnell, D. & Maartmann-Moe, K. (1992). J. Pharm. Sci. 81, 858– 862.
- Glaser, R. & Maartmann-Moe, K. (1990). J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. II, pp. 1205–1210.
- Fan, H.-F. (1991). SAPI91. Rigaku Corporation, Tokyo, Japan.
- Johnson, C. K. (1976). ORTEPII. Report ORNL-5138. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA.
- Molecular Structure Corporation (1988). MSC/AFC Diffractometer Control Software. MSC, 3200 Research Forest Drive, The Woodlands, TX 77381, USA.
- Molecular Structure Corporation (1994). *TEXSAN*. MSC, 3200 Research Forest Drive, The Woodlands, TX 77381, USA.
- Parvez, M. & Sabir, A. P. (1998). Acta Cryst. C54, 933-935.
- Parvez, M. & Wendling, M. (1991). Acta Cryst. C47, 613-616.
- Prasad, G. S. & Vijayan, M. (1991). Acta Cryst. C47, 927-935.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXL97. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Wiedenfeld, H. & Knoch, F. (1987). Acta Cryst. C43, 1359-1362.